UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

SANDRA E. TOODLE, et al.,) CASE NO. 1:06 CV 2880
Plaintiffs,) JUDGE DAN AARON POLSTER
v.) MEMODANDIM OF ODINION
LAURA BUSH, et al.,) <u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u>) <u>AND ORDER</u>
Defendants.)

On November 30, 2006, plaintiff <u>pro se</u> Sandra Toodle filed this action against First Lady Laura Bush, Captain Janet Aubrey, Mary Anderson, Glenn O'Neal, Jennifer "Caroline" Bush, Attorney Geraldine Turner Jones, James E. Ward, Chief Justice John Roberts, Chow Lin Lee, M.D., Tom Lee, Marilyn House, and Wilson Avery.

While the complaint is unclear, it alleges, <u>inter alia</u>, that: Sandra Toodle's daughter, Valerie Isom, had "illegal surgery" on her eyes and was blinded; Valerie is being used by health care officials in pornographic movies; and, Ms. Toodle was raped and "then transport me to on a 911 case load, which the Federal Agents found to be illegal in 1994 on Market Street in St. Louis Mo."

Principles requiring generous construction of pro se pleadings are not without limits. Beaudett v. City of Hampton, 775 F.2d 1274, 1277 (4th Cir. 1985). Given the most liberal construction, the complaint does not contain allegations reasonably suggesting plaintiff might have a valid federal claim, or setting forth a reasonable basis for jurisdiction. This action is therefore appropriately subject to summary dismissal. Apple v. <u>Glenn</u>, 183 F.3d 477 (6th Cir. 1999); <u>see Haqans v. Lavine</u>, 415 U.S. 528, 536-37 (1974) (citing numerous Supreme Court cases for the proposition that attenuated or unsubstantial claims divest the district court of jurisdiction); In re Bendectin Litiq., 857 F.2d 290, 300 (6th Cir.1988) (recognizing that federal question jurisdiction is divested by unsubstantial claims).

Accordingly, this action is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Dan Aaron Polster 1/16/07
DAN AARON POLSTER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE